

# Fitch Revises Credit Bank of Moscow's Outlook to Stable; Affirms at 'BB'

Fitch Ratings - Moscow - 24 Jun 2021: Fitch Ratings has revised the Outlook on Credit Bank of Moscow's (CBM) Long-Term Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) to Stable from Negative and affirmed the IDRs at 'BB'. A full list of rating actions is below.

The rating action follows the revision of the outlook on Russia's operating environment score to stable from negative. The negative implications from the pandemic on the Russian economy resulted in an only moderate weakening of Russian banks' asset quality and profitability in 2020. We expect Russia's real GDP growth to recover to 3.7% in 2021 after a 3.0% contraction in 2020 and this should support sector performance in 2021.

The revision of the Outlook on CBM's IDRs also reflects the limited impact of the economic downturn on the bank's asset quality and profitability ratios, and CBM's stronger loss absorption capacity since our previous review in September 2020. We note a recovery in the bank's core pre-impairment performance in 2H20-1Q21 after a dip in 1H20, as well as strengthening of its core capital through the secondary public offering (SPO) in 2Q21.

### **Key Rating Drivers**

IDRS, VIABILITY RATING (VR)

CBM's asset quality is supported by a large volume of low-risk reverse repos (46% of total assets at end-1Q21) and liquid assets of mainly investment-grade quality (16%), while credit risk mostly stems from the bank's loan book (34%). The bank's impaired loans (Stage 3 and purchased or originated credit-impaired) were 5% of gross loans at end-1Q21 and were reasonably covered at 67% by specific loan loss allowances (LLA). Stage 2 exposures were a limited 2% of gross loans.

Stage 3 and Stage 2 loans largely overlap with Fitch's assessment of the bank's high-risk assets. At end-1Q21, Fitch estimates that CBM's net high-risk assets amounted to RUB58 billion (or 0.3x common equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital), which is broadly unchanged relative to end-2Q20.

The bank reported a recovery in its core pre-impairment performance to a decent 3.6% of average gross loans in 2020-1Q21 from 2.5% in 1H20. This was mainly driven by an increase in business volumes, which supported net interest and fee income. We view the bank's annual pre-impairment profit as a reasonable buffer that covers about 60% of the high-risk assets.

Operating profit in 2020 was a good 1.9% of risk-weighted assets (RWAs), despite higher loan

impairment charges (LICs, 2% of average gross loans). The operating results were stronger in 1Q21 (2.5% of RWAs, annualised), supported by lower LICs (0.2% of average loans, annualised) amid receded asset quality risks. However, we note the significant dependence of the bank's profitability on its concentrated repo business, which boosts annual operating profit by 0.6%-1.0% of RWAs.

The CET1 ratio was a moderate 11.7% at end-1Q21. We estimate the ratio at 13% post SPO in May 2021, which aims to support growth in 2021-2022. The regulatory core Tier 1 capital ratio was a lower 8.9% at end-1Q21, due to higher loan provisioning in the local accounts. CBM targets a 9% regulatory core Tier 1 ratio, which provides only modest headroom over the mandatory fully loaded minimum of 8%, especially in the context of high single borrower and industry concentrations in CBM's corporate loan book. Fitch views CBM's ability to absorb additional losses through capital as limited. However, we do not expect credit losses to make a direct hit on capital due to a sufficient pre-impairment cushion and only moderate amounts of residual high-risk assets.

Our assessment of CBM's capitalisation considers significant 1.5x double-leverage at the level of the bank's holding company, Concern Rossium, which also holds stakes in several non-bank businesses. The holdco had around RUB100 billion of net debt at end-1Q21 and is largely reliant on upstreaming of liquidity and dividends to service it, potentially representing a significant burden for CBM. Positively, the expected dividends upstream from Rossium's non-bank subsidiaries in 2021 broadly cover the holdco's interest payments for 2021. Furthermore, the bank's key shareholders currently hold most of the holdco's debt, which somewhat reduces risks, although the funding sources for these debt purchases are unclear to Fitch.

The bank's total capital is bolstered by a large junior debt cushion, including additional Tier 1 perpetual debt and Tier 2 subordinated debt, which together equal RUB146 billion, or 8.6% of RWAs.

Liquidity risks are only moderate, despite high funding concentrations. Lumpy funding in the form of direct repos and certain large corporate deposits is matched with a large reverse repo portfolio secured with quasi-sovereign bonds. About RUB730 billion of these bonds received under reverse repos are currently unpledged and can be used to raise funding (equal to 41% of total deposits), if needed.

Excluding reverse repos and dedicated funding, CBM's liquidity buffer (comprising cash and equivalents, short-term interbank and unencumbered on-balance-sheet securities repo-able with the central bank) at end-1Q21 covered customer accounts by a decent 40%, while contractual repayments of wholesale debt are low for the next few years.

#### **DEBT RATINGS**

The ratings of senior unsecured debt issued through CBOM Finance PLC (special-purpose vehicle; SPV) are aligned with the bank's 'BB' IDRs.

CBM's Tier 2 subordinated debt (placed by the SPV) has been affirmed at 'B+', two notches below the 'bb' VR, which is the baseline notching for loss severity for these instruments.

CBM's perpetual notes (placed by the SPV) have been affirmed at 'B-'. The rating is four notches below the bank's VR, reflecting the perpetual notes' deep subordination relative to senior unsecured creditors, resulting in higher loss severity, and CBM's option to cancel coupon payments at its discretion, resulting in additional non-performance risk.

#### SUPPORT RATING AND SUPPORT RATING FLOOR

CBM's Support Rating Floor (SRF) of 'B+' reflects Fitch's view of a moderate probability of state support to privately-owned banks in Russia, as evidenced by bail-outs of senior unsecured creditors at larger Russian privately-owned banks in the past and the absence of senior creditors bail-in mechanism in Russian banking legislation. CBM's 'B+' SRF also captures its only moderate systemic importance (1.5% of system loans) and sizeable (in absolute terms) deposit base.

#### **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

An upgrade would require a strengthening of the bank's franchise and business model, including a reduction in balance-sheet and revenue concentrations. A marked improvement in asset quality, higher core capital ratios and reduced risks stemming from double leverage at the holdco level would also be credit positive.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

CBM's IDRs would be downgraded if there was a material asset quality deterioration resulting in core capital erosion. For example, Fitch may take this view if annualised LICs exceed 3.5% of average gross loans in two to three consecutive semi-annual reporting periods, which could translate into negative or close to negative operating performance, or if our assessment of the bank's net high-risk assets rises significantly above 0.5x CET1.

The debt ratings are primarily sensitive to changes in their respective anchor ratings.

# **Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario**

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions and Covered Bond issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579

#### REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

#### **ESG Considerations**

CBM has an ESG Relevance Scores of '4' for Governance Structure and Group Structure due to significant level of relationship-based operations, a lack of transparency with respect to ownership structure and significant double leverage at the level of the bank's holdco. These considerations have a moderately negative impact on the credit profile, and are relevant to the ratings in conjunction with other factors.

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

# **Fitch Ratings Analysts**

#### **Dmitri Vasiliev**

Director
Primary Rating Analyst
+7 495 956 5576

Fitch Ratings CIS Ltd Business Centre Light House, 6th Floor 26 Valovaya St. Moscow 115054

#### **Artem Beketov**

Associate Director Secondary Rating Analyst +7 495 956 9932

#### **Eric Dupont**

Senior Director Committee Chairperson +33 1 44 29 91 31

#### **Media Contacts**

#### **Louisa Williams**

London +44 20 3530 2452 louisa.williams@thefitchgroup.com

#### Julia Belskaya von Tell

Moscow +7 495 956 9908 julia.belskayavontell@fitchratings.com

# Marina Vdovenkova

Moscow +7 495 956 2404 marina.vdovenkova@fitchratings.com

# **Rating Actions**

ENTITY/DEBT RATING				RECOVERY	PRIOR
CBOM Finance PLC					
• senior LT unsecured		ВВ	Affirmed		ВВ
• subordin <b>aT</b> ed		B-	Affirmed		B-
• subordinated		B+	Affirmed		B+
Credit Bank of Moscow	LT IDR	вв 🗨	Affirmed		вв ●
	ST IDR	В	Affirmed		В
	LC LT IDR	вв •	Affirmed		ВВ ●
	Viability	bb	Affirmed		bb
	Support	4	Affirmed		4
	Support Floor	B+	Affirmed		B+

#### RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE **⊕ ♦** 

EVOLVING ○ ◆

STABLE O

## **Applicable Criteria**

Bank Rating Criteria (pub.28 Feb 2020) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

#### **Additional Disclosures**

Solicitation Status

#### **Endorsement Status**

CBOM Finance PLC UK Issued, EU Endorsed

Credit Bank of Moscow UK Issued, EU Endorsed

#### Disclaimer

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN ESMA- OR FCA-REGISTERED FITCH RATINGS COMPANY (OR BRANCH OF SUCH A

COMPANY) CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

# Copyright

Copyright © 2021 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A

report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001 Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

# **Endorsement policy**

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.